Genetic linkage analysis of DFNB22 in families with autosomal recessive non-syndromic hearing loss in Khuzestan province

Ladan Sadeghian1, Mohammad Amin Tabatabaiefar2,3, Morteza Hashemzadeh Chaleshtori1*

1Cellular and Molecular Research Center, Basic Health Sciences Institute, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran
2Department of Genetics and Molecular Biology, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran
3Pediatric Inherited Diseases Research Center, Research Institute for Primordial Prevention of Non-communicable Disease, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran
*Corresponding Author: Morteza Hashemzadeh Chaleshtori: Cellular and Molecular Research Center, Basic Health Sciences Institute, School of Medicine, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran. Tel: +98 383 3335654; fax: +98 383 3330709; Email: mchalesh@yahoo.com

Abstract

Background and aims: Hearing loss (HL) is the most common sensorineural disorder affecting 1 in 1000 newborns. Autosomal recessive non-syndromic hearing loss (ARNSHL), which is the most common cause of severe HL, is caused by mutations in more than 80 loci. The OTOA gene located on DFNB22 is a rare cause of the disease and the gene studied less in Iranian ARNSHL families. Hence, limited information is available on the frequency and type of OTOA mutations in different populations. In this study, we investigated the role of DFNB22 locus in ARNSHL patients in Khuzestan province, Iran.

Materials and Methods: In this descriptive-experimental study, 23 large families with pre-lingual ARNSHL from Khuzestan province were enrolled. Mutations in GJB2 were excluded by DNA sequencing followed by linkage analysis. Homozygosity mapping of DFNB22 was conducted using 6 short tandem repeat polymorphic markers via touch-down PCR and polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Homozygosity-by-descent was identified by calculating two-point and multi-point LOD score and haplotype reconstruction.

Results: Families were negative for GJB2 mutations. Genotyping the STRP markers, haplotype reconstruction, and two-point and multiple-point LOD scores did not show homozygosity-by-descent in any of the pedigrees.

Conclusion: Our findings suggest that OTOA mutations might not contribute significantly to the molecular pathophysiology of ARNSHL in Khuzestan province. However, extending the sample size can illuminate the role of this gene in Khuzestan province.
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which was first proposed by Botstein and Lander (8). The \(\text{OTOA}\) gene is located on DFNB22 locus and contains 28 exons and encompasses 82.2 kb region of the genome. The \(\text{OTOA}\) gene produces the otoancorin with 1140 amino acids. This protein is mainly expressed on the apical surfaces of the polar epithelial cells located within the inner ear and has no similarity to non-collagen glycoproteins of acellular gels in the inner ear. It appears that this protein is involved in the attachment of acellular gels to the sensory and non-sensory cells of the inner ear (9).

About 17 pathogenic variants have been identified in previous studies throughout the world (10). Hence, limited information is available on the frequency and type of mutations in the \(\text{OTOA}\) gene in different populations. Here we aimed to investigate the role of DFNB22 in molecular pathology of ARNSHL in the \(\text{GJB2}\)-negative families.

### Materials and Methods

#### Subjects and sampling

The present descriptive-experimental study was conducted in Cellular and Molecular Research Center of Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences. The study was conducted on 23 large inbred families with about 120 ARNSHL individuals recruited from Khuzestan province. Clinical investigations including skeletal, eye, kidney, heart, and cutaneous examination were performed. MRI and CT scan were conducted to detect inner ear malformations. Deafness severity was proved by pure-tone audiometry at frequencies ranging from 250 Hz to 8000 Hz.

#### Genomic DNA extraction

After obtaining informed written consent from patients or their parents, 5 mL of peripheral blood was collected in EDTA-containing tubes. DNA was extracted by the phenol-chloroform method and its quantity and quality were measured via Nanospec cube biophotometer (Nanolytik® Dusseldorf, Germany) and 1% agarose gel electrophoresis (11).

The mutation analysis \(\text{GJB2}\) gene

At least, one patient from each family underwent mutation analysis of the \(\text{GJB2}\) gene through PCR-sequencing using forward: \(5'\)-CTCCCTGTCTTGTCTCAGC-3' and reverse: \(5'\)-CTCATCCCTCTCATGCTGTC-3' primers. In addition, genetic linkage analysis of DFNB1A/B was performed by short tandem repeat polymorphic (STRP) markers to rule out regulatory and non-coding mutations of the \(\text{GJB2}\) gene and mutations of the \(\text{GJB6}\) gene.

**Genetic linkage analysis of the \(\text{OTOA}\) gene**

Simulation analysis was performed using FastSLink software version 2.51 to evaluate suitable families for genetic linkage analysis. Six STRP markers were selected via NCBI Genome Browser and UCSC Map Viewer databases. The criteria for selecting markers were the distance from the gene and their polymorphic content. In the case of uninformative markers, other markers close to the gene were replaced. The primers were designed by primer3 version 0.4.0 (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/) and primer specificity was checked by NCBI primer blast. Touch-down polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was applied under standard condition to amplify STRP markers. Primer sequences are presented in Table 1.

Each microtube for PCR contained 2.5 μL of PCR buffer (10X), 0.5 μL of MgCl\(_2\) (50 mM), 0.5 μL of each primer (10 pM), 1 μL of DNA (40–50 ng), and 0.1 μL of Taq polymerase, and distilled water was added to reach a final volume of 25 μL. Standard cycling conditions were performed in a thermocycler (ASTEC PC-818; ASTEC, Fukuka, Japan). The touch-down PCR program was as follows: the initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 minutes, 8 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds in the first cycle with 1°C reduction per cycle, and 72°C for 25 seconds, 27 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds.

**Table 1. Markers used for DFNB22 locus and Their Primers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse primer</th>
<th>Product size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D16S3045</td>
<td>ATGTAACGCGCTGAAATGTC</td>
<td>GGCCGATACATAGTCTCA</td>
<td>220-247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D16S3046</td>
<td>CCCAGAATTAACCTGCGTG</td>
<td>TTACATGGACCCCCCTATTG</td>
<td>84-108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D16S403</td>
<td>GTACCGAGATTCAGATTTCTT</td>
<td>TATTGTGTGGGTGGCAC</td>
<td>134-152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D16S417</td>
<td>GAGTAGCACTCTACACATCTCAC</td>
<td>ACTTTACCTTAAAGGGCTTCC</td>
<td>167-177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D16S3041</td>
<td>AGTCCCTGCTTGGTTAGTT</td>
<td>CAGGCTAGGTGAGCAGAAA</td>
<td>244-274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** The LOD scores of the families.

*Note. The horizontal axis represents the families and the vertical axis represents the SLINK value.*
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role in the incidence of HL. The GJB2 gene, which has contributed to 16-18% of ARNSHL in Iran, is known to be the first cause of the disease throughout the world (13,14). Subsequently, SLC26A4 located on DFNB4 (accounting for about 10%) and TECTA located on DFNB21 (accounting for approximately 4%) are the most common genes involved in ARNSHL in Iran (12).

A significant percentage of disease-causing genes remain unknown, suggesting the need for further genomic studies to uncover the disease etiology.

In this study, we examined the contribution of DFNB22 in 23 families with at least three deaf members in Khuzestan province in order to complete the studies on ARNSHL. The first study on this locus was conducted in 2002 by Zwaenepoel et al on 200 large Palestinian consanguineous families, which led to identification of DFNB22 in 16p13.1-q11.2 chromosome region carrying the OTOA gene. The IVS12+2T-C mutation in splice site of this gene was identified in one of the families (15).

In another study, Shahin et al in 2010 identified a homozygous 500 kb deletion that resulted in complete deletion of this gene. In the three Pakistani families, two different heterozygous substitution mutations (G451D, P627S) were identified in this gene. Although no functional studies have been carried out to investigate the effect of these two mutations, it is presumed that both would lead to a disruption of the super-helical structure of the otocardin (16). Another Pakistani family showed two transient mutations causing disease: c.1352G>A in exon 13 and c.1879C>T in exon 17 (17).

Investigating 300 families with Chinese, Caucasian, Israeli, and Spanish origins in separate studies showed no linkage to this locus (18,19).
No mutation in this gene has been reported in Iran until now. In a study on 41 large families with HL, no family showed linkage to this locus (20).

Another study on 67 families from different provinces of Iran reported no linkage to this locus (21). Further, a survey on 144 families from different Iranian populations revealed no mutation in this locus (22).

In the present study, considering the fact that we investigated 23 large families with high consanguinity rates, there was no linkage to this locus, confirming other studies in Iran, all of which relate to the insignificant role of this locus in the incidence of HL. The cause of HL in these patients is likely to be loci involved with a higher incidence. To determine the role of this locus in developing HL, it is necessary to extend studies to other areas and families in order to examine its role more precisely.

Conclusion
According to the results obtained from the present study, it is likely that this locus has a minor role in the incidence of HL in the studied population. However, in order to determine the precise contribution of loci involved in HL in Iran, further studies are required on the role of DFNB22 and other loci in developing HL to find an approach for diagnosis, genetic counseling, and prevention.
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