Effect of valproic acid on cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cell lines
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Abstract

Background and aims: Platinum resistance has been one of the most important problems in the management of ovarian cancer. The effects of various chemotherapeutic agents are limited in patients with platinum resistance. Therefore, developing new anticancer drugs that can improve the effect of currently used cytostatics is critical. The current study investigated the effects of valproic acid (VPA) alone and in combination with cisplatin on ovarian cancer cells.

Methods: In this experimental study, the human ovarian cancer cell lines (A2780-S and A2780-CP) were grown in RPMI-1640 medium in appropriate culture conditions. The cells were treated with various concentrations of cisplatin (0.15-400 µg/mL) or VPA (10-2000 µg/mL) and were incubated for 24, 48, and 72 hours. Moreover, A2780 cells were co-treated with different concentrations of cisplatin and VPA for 48 hours. Afterward, cell viability was investigated using MTT assay. GraphPad Prism statistical software was used for the data analysis and ANOVA and Duncan’s test were conducted.

Results: A dose- and time-dependent reduction was observed in cell viability following the treatment with cisplatin or VPA. Moreover, co-treatment of the A2780 cells with cisplatin and VPA resulted in a significantly greater inhibition of cell viability compared to the treatment with either agent alone.

Conclusion: Overall, it can be argued that VPA does not only cause inhibition of proliferation and induction of apoptosis in ovarian cancer cells but also helps to enhance the antiproliferative effects of cisplatin and results in the increased susceptibility to cisplatin in resistant cells. VPA may therefore be used to treat cancer in the future.
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Introduction

Ovarian cancer is one of the most prevalent cancers worldwide and the first leading cause of death as a reproductive system cancer among women (1). The mainstay of treatment of ovarian cancer is cytoreductive surgery followed by chemotherapy. Cisplatin and its derivatives are widely used as chemotherapeutic agents for the treatment of various cancers, including ovarian cancer (2,3). Accordingly, cisplatin and carboplatin are used for the treatment of ovarian cancer. Cisplatin, as an alkylating agent, binds to the N7-guanine to form intrastrand crosslink DNA adducts that can effectively prevent replication and transcription and induce apoptosis (4,5).

Despite its high therapeutic potential, cisplatin is not widely used to treat ovarian cancer due partly to reduced intracellular drug accumulation, increased platinum-DNA adduct repair, and enhanced platinum-DNA damage tolerance that lead to intense side effects and development of cisplatin resistance in cancer cells (2,6). Therefore, developing new anticancer drugs that can more specifically prevent the growth of ovarian cancer cells may improve the effect of currently used cytostatics and consequently increase survival rate, which is considered a critical issue in patients (6-8).

Valproic acid (VPA), a branched short-chain fatty acid, is mainly used to treat epilepsy and bipolar disorders. Recently, VPA has been widely studied for its anti-cancer effects in many cancers (9-11). In this regard, VPA induces cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, differentiation, and senescence. Moreover, it has been observed that VPA arrests tumor growth and increases the susceptibility of cancer cells to the therapeutic agents (12,13). VPA exerts few toxic side effects and often is used as an adjuvant in combination with anticancer cytotoxic drugs. Therefore, the aim of this
study was to investigate the anti-cancer activity of VPA in two ovarian cancer cell lines, A2780-S and A2780-CP, alone and in combination with cisplatin.

Materials and Methods
Cell culture reagents, including RPMI-1640 culture medium, penicillin-streptomycin, trypsin-EDTA, phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were purchased from Gibco Co. (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). MTT (3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay kit was also provided from BIO IDEA Co. (Tehran-Iran). Cisplatin and VPA were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Moreover, a stock solution of cisplatin (500 µM) and VPA (60 mM) was prepared in PBS immediately before use.

Furthermore, the human ovarian cancer cell lines (A2780-S and A2780-CP) were obtained from the National Cell Bank of Iran (NCBI), Pasteur Institute of Iran (NCBI, C461 and C454). A2780 cells were grown in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin incubated at 37°C with humidified air and 5% CO2. The viability of A2780 cells was measured by the MTT assay after the treatment with cisplatin, VPA, and their combination. Briefly, cancer cells were seeded into a 96-well plate at 8000 cells/mL (A2780-CP) and 4000 cells/mL (A2780-S) densities and incubated overnight. Next, the medium was discarded and the cells were treated with serial dilutions of either VPA or cisplatin in a fresh culture medium for 24, 48, and 72 hours. All concentrations were replicated 3-4 times. The cells that were left untreated were considered control. After the incubation, medium was removed, and 10 µL of MTT in 100 µL of fresh medium was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 4 hours. The medium with MTT was removed and MTT formazan crystals were solubilized in 150 µL of DMSO, and absorbance of each well was measured at 570 nm using an ELISA reader (AWARENESS-State Fax, USA). The rate of cell viability was determined by the following formula (14):

\[
\text{Cell viability rate (\%) = OD of treated cells/OD of control cells} \times 100
\]

Each experiment was performed at least in triplicate. GraphPad Prism statistical software, version 5.01 (GraphPad, USA) was used for the data analysis, and analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's test were conducted. All data were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
In this study, the effect of cisplatin was investigated on two ovarian cancer cell lines, namely A2780-S and A2780-CP, at different time points (24, 48, and 72 hours). Our results showed that IC50 values in A2780-S cells at the abovementioned time points were 6.5, 1.4, and 0.67 µg/mL, respectively. Moreover, the IC50 values of cisplatin in A2780-CP cells were observed 25, 2.8, and 1.5 µg/mL at 24, 48, and 72 hours, respectively (Table 1, Figure 1).

Furthermore, the effect of VPA on A2780-S cells in different time points was examined. Our results showed a dose-dependent decrease in cell viability, suggesting that A2780-CP cells were more sensitive to VPA treatment (IC50 values= 1000, 400, 275 µg/mL) than A2780-S cells (IC50 values= 1200, 650, 425 µg/mL) at different time points (Figure 2, Table 1).

In addition, the investigation of A2780-S cells under different concentrations of cisplatin and VPA for 48 hours showed a more significant reduction of cell viability in combination therapy compared to monotherapy. The IC50 values were 0.12 and 1.2 µg/mL for cisplatin in A2780-S cells and A2780-CP cells, respectively. While, the IC50 of VPA was 400 µg/mL in A2780-S cells and 100 µg/mL in A2780-CP cells (Figure 3).

Discussion
The present study showed the effect of VPA (a histone-deacetylase inhibitor) on cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cell lines. Cisplatin is one of the most important anticancer compounds used in the first line of chemotherapy for the treatment of ovarian cancer (15). Resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs including cisplatin, is a hindrance to successful chemotherapy in the patients with ovarian cancer (16,17). Therefore, new modalities are needed to overcome drug resistance (17,18). VPA is a short chain fatty acid which is used in the treatment of various neurological disorders including seizures, bipolar disorder, and migraine (19). Recent studies have shown the anticancer effects of VPA (20, 21). In this study, first, the antiproliferative effects of cisplatin and VPA were compared at different time points and concentrations on two cell lines, A2780-S and A2780-CP, by the MTT assay. Then, different concentrations of both drugs were prepared to investigate the sensitizing effect of VPA on resistance to cisplatin.

The treatment of two cell lines of A2780-S and A2780-CP with cisplatin and VPA at different time points (24, 48, and 72 hours) indicated that the rate of cell viability was reduced in a time-dependent manner. The effect of

| Table 1. IC50 of Cisplatin and VPA at Different Time Points |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | A2780-S (µg/mL) | A2780-CP (µg/mL) |
| Cisplatin (24 h) | 6.5             | 25              |
| Cisplatin (48 h) | 1.4             | 2.1             |
| Cisplatin (72 h) | 0.67            | 1.5             |
| VPA (24 h)      | 1200            | 1000            |
| VPA (48 h)      | 650             | 400             |
| VPA (72 h)      | 425             | 275             |
different concentrations of cisplatin was also assessed, the results of which showed a dose-dependent decrease in the cell viability. Cisplatin alone led to a significant reduction in cell survival at 0.15 µg/mL for A2780-S and 0.3 µg/mL for A2780-CP (Figures 1E, and 1D). A dose-dependent reduction in cell viability was also observed following the treatment with VPA. The lowest concentration of VPA that inhibited the cell growth was 10 µg/mL for both A2780-S and A2780-CP cells, whereas 2000 µg/mL of VPA abolished ovarian cancer cells almost completely (Figure 2). Although cisplatin treatment resulted in a further reduction in A2780-S cell viability at 24, 48, and 72 hours (The IC50 values of cisplatin for A2780-S were 6.5, 1.4, 0.67 µg/mL and for A2780-CP were 25, 2.8, 1.5 µg/mL, respectively) (Figure 1), we observed that A2780-CP cells were more sensitive to VPA treatment than A2780-S cells (The IC50 values of VPA for A2780-S were 1000, 400, 275 µg/mL and for A2780-CP were 1200, 650, 425 µg/mL, respectively) (Figure 2).

Furthermore, co-treatment of the A2780 cells with cisplatin and VPA for 48 hours resulted in a significantly greater inhibition of cell viability compared to the treatment with either agent alone. The co-treatment of A2780-S cells with 400 µg/mL VPA and 0.12 µg/mL cisplatin suppressed cell growth by 50%. Moreover, the treatment of A2780-CP cells with the combination of 100 µg/mL VPA and 1.2 µg/mL cisplatin decreased cell growth by 50% (Figure 3). Our results showed that VPA could sensitise even the drug-resistant cell lines and cause the inhibition of cell viability.

Figure 1. Growth of A2780-S and A22780-CP cells cultured in the presence of various concentrations of cisplatin after 24 (A, B), 48 (C, D), and 72 hours (E, F). Each bar represents the mean ± standard deviation; *P* < 0.05.
The findings of MTT assay regarding the effect of cisplatin in inhibiting the growth of A2780 cancer cells demonstrated that certain concentrations of this drug could significantly inhibit the growth of cancer cells, to such a degree that higher concentrations of cisplatin had a higher ability to kill the cells. Given that most routinely used chemotherapy drugs are cytotoxic, they may damage normal cells of the body and cause adverse side effects (20). A strategy to resolve this problem is the use of chemotherapy drugs alongside the drugs already approved for treating non-cancer diseases with widely known toxicity. A group of these drugs are anticonvulsants including VPA. VPA has attracted much attention due to its inhibitory effects on the proliferation, invasion, migration, and angiogenesis of cancer cells (22). The present study demonstrated that VPA could decrease the viability of ovarian cancer cells in a dose-dependent manner. The antiproliferative activity of VPA has already been confirmed on a wide spectrum of cell lines including leukemia (23), melanoma (24), lung cancer (25), breast cancer (26), prostate cancer (27), and gastric carcinoma (28).

Besides, VPA renders the improvement of efficacy of chemotherapy drugs such as cisplatin. Likewise, in the present study, VPA enhanced the cisplatin-induced growth inhibitory effect in the cells under this study. The results of MTT assay indicated that co-treatment of ovarian cancer cells with VPA and cisplatin caused a decrease in the cisplatin concentration required to reach the IC50. When VPA and cisplatin are used simultaneously, cell death increases particularly in the cisplatin-resistant cell lines. This suggests that VPA may increase the susceptibility of ovarian cancer cells to induce apoptosis. The findings on the effect of combined cisplatin and VPA on melanoma (15) and larynx (29) cancer cell lines are consistent with the results of our study.

**Conclusion**

According to the findings of this study, it can be argued
that VPA can cause the inhibition of proliferation and induction of cell death in A2780 cancer cell lines. The antiproliferative activity is also dose- and time- dependent. Moreover, VPA can enhance the antiproliferative effects of cisplatin, and result in the increased susceptibility in the cisplatin-resistant cells. Taken together, the findings support that treatment with VPA can lead to an increased susceptibility to cisplatin in ovarian cancer cells and enhance the efficacy of chemotherapeutic agents. VPA can be therefore recommended as a useful drug in cancer therapy. However, further studies are required to determine the precise rate of apoptosis using qualitative techniques such as flow cytometry.
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