# **Journal of Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences**

doi:10.34172/jsums.2023.610 2023;25(1):7-15 http://j.skums.ac.ir

## Original Article



# Role of the First Childbirth and its Associated Factors on Sexual Satisfaction and the Quality of Sexual Life of Married Women

Sheida Shabanian<sup>1</sup>, Akram Karami Dehkordi<sup>2</sup>, Dariush Gholipour Mofrad-Dashtaki<sup>3</sup>, Parastoo Yarmohammadi-Samani<sup>4</sup>, Saeid Heidari-Sourshajani<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Gynecology Department, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Midwifery Department, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Medical Education Research, Center, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Depeuty of Health, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran

<sup>5</sup>Modeling in Health Research Center, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran

\*Corresponding Author: Saeid Heidari-Soureshjani, Circuit of Research and Technology, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Iran.Tel: +989131833509, Fax: +98383351031, Email: heidari\_62@yahoo.com

#### **Abstract**

**Background and aims:** Sexual satisfaction (SS) and a desirable quality of sex life are known as prerequisites for the physical and mental health of individuals. This study was designed to evaluate the role of the first childbirth and its associated factors in life satisfaction and the quality of life of married women in Shahrekord.

Methods: This self-control cohort study was conducted on 124 married women who were planning to have their first pregnancy from January 2018 to February 2019. The convenience sampling method was used to select the samples. The questionnaires utilized in this research included the Demographic Information Questionnaire, the Married Women's Sexual Satisfaction Questionnaire, and the Sexual Quality of Life-Female Questionnaire. The data were analyzed by independent *t* test, chi-square, and Pearson correlation coefficient using SPSS, version 22.

Results: The mean scores of SS and the quality of sexual life before pregnancy and one year after delivery were  $63.45\pm13.62$  and  $52.38\pm13.59$ , as well as,  $88.40\pm14.19$  and  $71.08\pm13.29$ , respectively. In this study, there was a significant relationship ( $P\pm0.041$ ) between changing the quality of sexual life and good economic status of individuals, as well as irregular menstrual status and contraceptive methods (natural birth control). Further, the relationship between residence and change in SS was significant so that people living in cities had the most change in SS compared to those living in rural areas ( $P\pm0.046$ ).

**Conclusion:** SS and its dimensions, including physical and psychological barriers, dominant cultural values, and husband-related factors, as well as the mean score of the quality of sex life one year after delivery, were lower than before pregnancy.

Keywords: Quality of sex life, Sexual satisfaction, Nulliparous women

Received: 25 December 2021, Accepted: 16 April 2022, ePublished: November 15 2022

#### Introduction

Satisfactory sex and the right to enjoy intercourse profoundly affect the communication, intimacy, general health, and conflict styles between the husband and wife (1). Sexual satisfaction (SS) and the quality of sex life are recognized as an integral part of individuals' quality of life (2,3). In fact, the occurrence of any problems with sexual function may lead to negative effects on the general welfare and the overall quality of life (4). SS and desirable quality of sex life strengthen the couples' relationships and enhance their general health (5,6). Numerous factors can influence sexual behavior, including age, economic status, duration of the marriage, number of children, job stress, and social and emotional communicative aspects (intimacy and strength of family relationships). The other factors are sexual attitudes and values, physical health,

mental health and depression, environmental barriers, the frequency of intercourse, the difference between sexual behaviors, sexual desires, and orgasms (7-9). Although childbirth can affect SS and the quality of sex in women according to some studies (10), some other studies suggest that nulliparous women, regardless of the number of children, feel more pain during intercourse and experience less SS than women with children (11). Another research demonstrated that the number of deliveries and the type of delivery do not seem to be determining factors of sexual desire, function, and satisfaction in women over 40 (12). Further, a significant positive relationship was found between women's quality of sex life and marital adjustment of women to conceive in a study although more studies need to be performed in this regard (13).

Women are more influenced by psychological factors

© 2023 The Author(s); Published by Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

than men in terms of SS and the quality of sex life (7). Women seem to be more sensitive than men due to certain physiological conditions, and this vulnerability is greater in terms of psychological characteristics (14). Thus, this study sought to evaluate the role of first childbearing and its associated factors in satisfaction with the life and quality of life of married women in Shahrekord from January 2018 to February 2019.

#### **Materials and Methods**

This was a self-control cohort study. The statistical population encompassed married women who intended to have their first pregnancy and referred to a gynecologist for pre-pregnancy counseling in 2018-2019. The sample size was calculated to be 100 subjects based on the relevant formula, which accounted for a total of 124 subjects considering the probability of the samples dropping. The sample size was determined using the sample volume formula as follows:

Considering the 95% confidence level ( $Z\pm 1.96$ ),  $p\pm 0.5$ ,  $d\pm 0.2^*$  p, and  $d^2\pm 0.01$ , the required number of samples was 100 people, which considering the dropout, 124 people were included in the study.

$$n = \frac{Z^2 p(1-p)}{d^2}$$

The married women meeting the inclusion criteria were enrolled in the study by the convenience sampling method. The inclusion criteria were women aged 15-37 years, husbands aged less than 50, physical health (having no metabolic and chronic diseases, no genital infections, and no severe pain during intercourse), mental health (not suffering from depression, anxiety, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and other severe mental illnesses with a psychotic presentation). The other criteria included nulliparity, term infant, singleton pregnancy, lack of using drugs that affect sexual desire such as antidepressants by the couple, lack of morbid obesity or hyperadiposis (body mass index > 30), no drug use by the woman or her spouse, literacy (having at least a diploma), and regular sexual activity.

On the other hand, the exclusion criteria were unwillingness to continue cooperation, congenital malformations of the baby, multiple births, the incidence of sexual problems in the woman or her spouse at any stage of the study (pain, erection problems in the husband, depression, and other diseases related to sexual function), inability to access the person, and the death of the woman or her spouse.

Three questionnaires were used in this study. The first one was a demographic information questionnaire. It contains questions about the age, age of the spouse, duration of the marriage, level of education of the woman and her spouse, economic status, place of residence, employment status of the woman and her spouse, menstrual status, method of contraception, and the type of delivery. The second questionnaire was the Married Women's Sexual Satisfaction Questionnaire (MWSSQ).

It was designed by Shahvari et al (15) through different phases and localized according to the prevailing public culture in Iran. The MWSSQ encompasses 27 questions in two physical and emotional dimensions. This tool has four subscales as follows:

- Records containing 8 questions (13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 25, and 26) and factors such as loving the husband, emotional intimacy, sexual adjustment, satisfactory performance towards marital roles, and the feeling of sexual power.
- Physical and mental barriers with 9 questions (3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 14, 21, 23, and 27).
- Dominant cultural values with 5 questions (1, 2, 7, 11, and 12).
- Finally, husband-related factors with 5 questions (6, 8, 9, 22, and 24).

The scoring of the questionnaire is based on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from never (1 point) to always (5 points). Questions 3, 6, 9, 10, 14, 19, 21, 23, 24, and 27 are scored reversely. The overall MWSSQ score falls within the range of 27-135 where a higher score indicates a higher level of SS. Using Cronbach's alpha test, the instrument reliability was assessed to be 0.916, suggesting a good reliability rate that can be used to evaluate the SS of married Iranian women. Face validity was measured in the third phase, and two items had an impact score of>1.5. One item was removed from the scale because of a low content validity ratio (CVR) of 0.42. The scalelevel content validity index (CVI, S-CVI) of the whole questionnaire was 0.938 (15). The third instrument was the Sexual Quality of Life-Female (SQOL-F) questionnaire. It is a short 18-item questionnaire designed by Symonds et al to evaluate the association between women's sexual disorders and quality of life (3). The SQOL-F is a self-reporting scale that focuses on sexual self-esteem, emotions, and communicative issues and has 4 subscales

- Sexual-psychological emotions including questions 2, 3, 7, 8, 10, 16, and 17.
- Satisfaction with sex and intercourse containing questions 1, 5, 9, 13, and 18.
- Self-worthlessness including questions 4, 6, and 15.
- Sexual repression encompassing questions 11, 12, and 14.

The scoring of this questionnaire is according to a 6-point Likert-type scale ranging from quite agree (1 point) to quite disagree (6 points), and the overall score falls within the range of 18-108 where the higher score reflects the better quality of sex life. This questionnaire has been localized in Iran by Maasoumi et al with an evaluated Cronbach's alpha as 0.73 and in both questionnaires, the CVR of the questions was estimated to be 0.75-1 and the CVI in all cases was evaluated as 0.8-0.97 (16).

An electronic questionnaire that could be sent through social networks was designed given the problem of access to women after childbirth, which was sent to all of them accordingly. A letter of introduction and the goal of the project were sent to them as an introduction, and they were asked to enter the submitted link to complete the mentioned questionnaire. The completed questionnaires were automatically recorded on the site. After explaining the objectives of the study and the confidentiality of the information and obtaining written consent from the samples, as well as assuring them that they can leave the study whenever they want, they were asked to complete the questionnaires once before pregnancy and once a year after the birth of their child. During this time, they were followed up via phone calls. Finally, the collected data underwent analysis.

The data were coded and then entered into the SPSS software, version 22. Descriptive (including frequency, percentage, mean, and standard deviation) and analytical (including independent t test, chi-square, and Pearson correlation coefficient tests) statistics were used for analyzing the results.

#### **Results**

This study was performed on 124 married women planning to have their first pregnancy who had referred to a gynecologist for pre-pregnancy counseling by completing the scales before pregnancy and one year after delivery. The average age and the duration of marriage of the studied women are listed in Table 1.

The mean score of SS was  $63.45 \pm 13.62$  and  $52.38 \pm 13.59$  before pregnancy and one year after delivery, respectively. Additionally, the mean score of sexual quality of life before pregnancy and one year after delivery was  $88.40 \pm 14.19$  and  $71.8 \pm 13.29$ , respectively.

Table 2 provides the demographic characteristics of the studied women. Moreover, the findings concerning the association between individual variables and changes in the quality of sex life before pregnancy and one year after delivery revealed that people with good economic status had experienced the highest change rate in the quality of sex life ( $P\pm0.041$ ). In this regard, women with irregular menstruation intervals experienced the highest rate of changes in the quality of sex life ( $P\pm0.041$ ). Based on the results, women who used the natural birth control method experienced the highest rate of changes in the quality of sex life ( $P\pm0.041$ ). In addition, urban residents had the highest change rate in SS compared to rural residents ( $P\pm0.046$ ).

Other individual variables, including age, age of spouse, duration of the marriage, education level and occupation

of women and their spouses, and the type of delivery showed no statistically significant difference with the changes in the quality of sex life and SS in this study (P < 0.05, Tables 1 and 2).

The findings (Table 3) indicated that the mean scores of SS and its dimensions, including physical and psychological barriers, dominant cultural values, and husband-related factors, were lower one year after delivery than before pregnancy (P<0.001); further, no statistically significant difference was observed in the records (history) dimension before pregnancy and one year after delivery (P±0.229).

According to Table 4, the mean scores of the quality of sex life and all its dimensions were significantly lower one year after delivery than before pregnancy (P<0.01).

Based on the results of the correlation coefficient, the quality of sex life had a direct and significant association with SS before pregnancy and one year after delivery in the studied women (r±0.727, P<0.001, r±0.741, P<0.001) so that women with high quality of sex life had higher SS before pregnancy and one year after delivery. In addition, the changes in the quality of sex life demonstrated a direct and significant relationship with changes in SS so that women with higher changes in their quality of sex life experienced more changes in SS as well (r±0.608, P±0.001) (Table 5).

Tables 6 and 7 present the results of the multivariate regression. As shown, the proposed regression model is significant and precedes 41% and 36% of changes in the sexual quality of life (F±2.95, P<0.001, R<sup>2</sup>±0.412, adjusted R<sup>2</sup>±0.272) and SS (F±2.38, P<0.002, R<sup>2</sup>±0.361, adjusted R<sup>2</sup>±0.210), respectively.

Regarding changes in the quality of sexual life in this model, older people ( $\beta\pm$ -0.401,  $P\pm$ 0.003) and good economic status ( $\beta\pm$ -0.273,  $P\pm$ 0.032) and those with a higher education of the spouse ( $\beta\pm$ 0.213,  $P\pm$ 0.034) had the least changes in the quality of sexual life. However, people with older age of the spouse ( $\beta\pm$ 0.287,  $P\pm$ 0.003) with an associate degree ( $\beta\pm$ -0.213,  $P\pm$ 0.034), regular menstrual status ( $\beta\pm$ -0.356, P<0.001), emergency cesarean delivery ( $\beta\pm$ -0.201,  $P\pm$ 0.042), and hormonal contraception ( $\beta\pm$ -0.318,  $P\pm$ 0.001) had the most changes in sexual quality of life.

With regard to changes in SS in this model, people with older age ( $\beta \pm -0.376$ ,  $P \pm 0.007$ ) and average economic status ( $\beta \pm -0.309$ ,  $P \pm 0.025$ ) and those with higher education with a spouse diploma ( $\beta \pm -0.252$ ,  $P \pm 0.016$ )

**Table 1.** The mean and standard deviation of the age, spouse age, and duration of the marriage, and the correlation with changes in the quality of sex life and sexual satisfaction

| Variables                | Minimum | Maximum | Mean ± SD _     | Correlation with changes in the quality of sex life |         | Correlation with changes in sexual satisfaction |         |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|---|---------|---|---------|
|                          |         |         |                 | Spearman coefficient                                | P value | Spearman coefficient                            | P value |
| Age (y)                  | 16      | 37      | 25.07 ± 5.40    | -0.110  | 0.227   | -0.116  | 0.203   |
| Spouse age (y)           | 22      | 40      | 30.15 ± 4.12    | -0.035  | 0.702   | -0.074  | 0.418   |
| Duration of marriage (y) | 1       | 15      | $2.57 \pm 2.08$ | 0.054   | 0.557   | 0.102   | 0.265   |

Note. SD: Standard deviation.

 Table 2. The distribution of absolute and relative frequencies of demographic variables of the studied individuals

| Variables                          | Variable label             | Number (%)  | Changes in the quality of sex life | Changes in sexual satisfaction |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                                    | Poor                       | 16 (12.9%)  | -12.37 ± 13.73                     | $-2.50 \pm 16.56$              |
|                                    | Average                    | 83 (66.9%)  | -16.96 ± 16.10                     | -11.09 ± 17.61                 |
| Economic situation                 | Good                       | 22 (17.7%)  | -25.13 ± 15.10                     | -12.95 ± 16.52                 |
|                                    | Excellent                  | 2 (1.6%)    | -3 ± 12.72                         | -4 ± 1.41                      |
|                                    | Missing                    | 1 (0.8%)    |                                    |                                |
| P value                            |                            |             | 0.036*                             | 0.242                          |
|                                    | Diploma                    | 59 (47.6%)  | -17.05 ± 15.71                     | -9.11 ± 14.31                  |
|                                    | Associate's degree         | 18 (14.5%)  | -14.16 ± 14.46                     | -5.88 ± 19.04                  |
| Women's education level            | Bachelor's degree          | 35 (28.2%)  | -20.17 ± 16.66                     | -12.42 ± 22.53                 |
|                                    | MSc. and higher            | 7 (5.6%)    | -23.14 ± 20.83                     | -12.58 ± 17.84                 |
|                                    | Missing                    | 5 (4%)      |                                    |                                |
| P value                            |                            |             | 0.467                              | 0.598                          |
|                                    | Diploma                    | 70 (56.5%)  | -16.65 ± 15.91                     | -10.02 ± 16.29                 |
|                                    | Associate's degree         | 15 (12.1%)  | -22.06 ± 12.67                     | -18.06 ± 14.33                 |
| Education level of their spouses   | Bachelor's degree          | 23 (18.5%)  | -14.60 ± 17.77                     | -3.08 ± 21.48                  |
|                                    | MSc. and higher            | 10 (8.1%)   | -22.80 ± 21.32                     | -14.70 ± 19.70                 |
|                                    | Missing                    | 6 (4.8%)    |                                    |                                |
| P value                            | _                          |             | 0.381                              | 0.063                          |
|                                    | Public sector employee     | 3 (2.4%)    | -20.66 ± 0.57                      | -8.33 ± 19.62                  |
|                                    | Housewife                  | 111 (89.5%) | -16.99 ± 15.48                     | -9.99 ± 16.98                  |
| Employment status of women         | Freelance                  | 9 (7.3%)    | -16.66 ± 25.01                     | -5.77 ± 28.74                  |
|                                    | Missing                    | 1 (0.8%)    | 10.00 ± 23.01                      |                                |
| P value                            | U                          | , ,         | 0.925                              | 0.791                          |
|                                    | Public sector employee     | 14 (11.3%)  | -23.92 ± 13.89                     | -10.42 ± 15.81                 |
|                                    | Worker                     | 45 (36.3%)  | -15.80 ± 13.97                     | -7.04 ± 17.75                  |
| Employment status of their spouses | Freelance                  | 62 (50%)    | -17.54 ± 18.13                     | -12.19 ± 18.44                 |
|                                    | Unemployed                 | 3 (2.4%)    | $-4.33 \pm 4.72$                   | -3 ± 4.17                      |
| P value                            | Спетрюуса                  | 3 (2.170)   |                                    | 0.296                          |
| 7 value                            | City                       | 75 (60.5%)  | 0.200                              | -12.42 ± 19.01                 |
| Place of residence                 | Village                    | 48 (38.7%)  | -18.06 ± 17.07                     | $-5.72 \pm 15.53$              |
| Trace of residence                 | Missing                    | 1 (0.8%)    | -16.25 ± 15.12                     | -5.72 ± 15.55                  |
| P value                            | Missing                    | 1 (0.070)   | 0.540                              | *0.043                         |
| 7 value                            | Regular                    | 87 (70.2%)  | 0.549                              | -8.40 ± 18.80                  |
| Menstrual status                   | Irregular                  |             | -14.43 ± 16.31                     |                                |
| Dvalue                             | irregulai                  | 37 (29.8%)  | -24.08 ± 14.08                     | -12.94 ± 15.38                 |
| P value                            | Nistronal                  | 44 (25 50/) | 0.002*                             | 0.197                          |
|                                    | Natural                    | 44 (35.5%)  | -19.93 ± 18.10                     | -12.88 ± 15.41                 |
| Type of childbirth                 | Elective cesarean section  | 8 (6.5%)    | -16.50 ± 10.09                     | -17 ± 11.57                    |
|                                    | Emergency cesarean section | 7 (5.6%)    | -5 ± 6.7                           | $-0.57 \pm 6.39$               |
|                                    | Missing                    | 65 (52.4%)  |                                    | 0.5                            |
| P value                            |                            |             | 0.089                              | 0.068                          |
|                                    | Natural                    | 74 (59.7%)  | -19.35 ± 15.61                     | -12.04 ± 16.14                 |
| Birth control (contraception)      | Condoms                    | 24 (19.4%)  | -16.54 ± 13.85                     | -4 ± 18.71                     |
| method                             | Hormonal methods           | 15 (12.1%)  | $-6.33 \pm 20.39$                  | $-3.53 \pm 24.62$              |
|                                    | Missing                    | 11 (8.9%)   |                                    |                                |
| P value                            |                            |             | 0.018*                             | 0.074                          |

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at the level of P < 0.05

Table 3. The comparison of the mean scores of sexual satisfaction dimensions of studied women before pregnancy and one year after pregnancy

| Variable                            | Defere Dreamency   | One year after delivery | The rate of change in sexual satisfaction | P value  |  |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---|----------|--|
| variable                            | Before Pregnancy   | Mean±SD                 | Mean ± SD                                 | r value  |  |
| Dimensions of sexual satisfaction   |                    |                         |   |          |  |
| Records                             | $31.80 \pm 6.29$   | $30.93 \pm 5.83$        | -0.87 ± 8.01                              | 0.229    |  |
| Physical and psychological barriers | 32.51 ± 4.75       | $29.07 \pm 5.06$        | $-3.44 \pm 6.37$                          | < 0.001* |  |
| Dominant cultural values            | $22.07 \pm 3.82$   | $20.20 \pm 3.81$        | $-1.86 \pm 5.64$                          | < 0.001* |  |
| Factors related to the husband      | $21.04 \pm 3.67$   | $17.45 \pm 3.85$        | -3.58 ± 4.87                              | < 0.001* |  |
| Sexual satisfaction                 | $107.43 \pm 14.03$ | 97.67 ± 13.91           | -9.75 ± 17.91                             | < 0.001* |  |

Note. SD: Standard deviation.

Table 4. The comparison of the mean scores of the dimensions of quality of sex life of the studied women before pregnancy and one year after pregnancy

| Variable                              | Before pregnancy | One year after delivery | The rate of change in sexual satisfaction | P value        |  |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------|--|
| variable                              | Mean±SD          | Mean±SD                 | Mean ± SD                                 | <i>P</i> value |  |
| Dimensions of the quality of sex life |                  |                         |   |                |  |
| Sexual-psychological emotions         | $34.37 \pm 6.96$ | $29.99 \pm 8.35$        | $-4.37 \pm 8.89$                          | < 0.001        |  |
| Satisfaction with sex                 | $25.12 \pm 3.89$ | 15.18 ± 5.52            | -9.93 ± 7.10                              | < 0.001*       |  |
| Self-worthlessness                    | 14.81 ± 3.02     | $13.34 \pm 3.79$        | $-1.46 \pm 4.44$                          | < 0.001*       |  |
| Sexual repression                     | $14.08 \pm 3.31$ | $12.56 \pm 3.96$        | -1.52 ± 4.48                              | < 0.001*       |  |
| Quality of sex life                   | 88.40 ± 14.19    | 71.08± 13.29            | -17.31 ± 16.24                            | < 0.001*       |  |

Note. SD: Standard deviation.

Table 5. The correlation coefficient matrix of the quality of sex life and sexual satisfaction before pregnancy and one year after delivery and their changes in the subjects

|  | 1 | 2                  | 3                               | 4                  | 5                          | 6                  |
|--|---|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| 1. The quality of sex life before pregnancy        | 1 | 0.727**<br>P<0.001 | 0.304**<br>0.001                | 0.370<br>P<0.001   | -0.626<br>P<0.001          | -0.282<br>0.002    |
| 2. Sexual satisfaction before pregnancy            |   | 1                  | 0.1 <i>7</i> 1<br>0.05 <i>7</i> | 0.179*<br>0.047    | -0.495<br>P<0.001          | -0.465<br>P<0.001  |
| 3. The quality of sex life one year after delivery |   |                    | 1                               | 0.741**<br>P<0.001 | 0.553**<br><i>P</i> <0.001 | 0.441**<br>P<0.001 |
| 4. Sexual satisfaction one year after delivery     |   |                    |                                 | 1                  | 0.283**<br>P<0.001         | 0.637**<br>P<0.001 |
| 5. Changes in the quality of sex life              |   |                    |                                 |                    | 1                          | 0.608**<br>P<0.001 |
| 6- Changes in sexual satisfaction                  |   |                    |                                 |                    |                            | 1                  |

Note. \*\* Significant at the level of 0.01.

had the least changes in SS. Conversely, people with high duration of marriage ( $\beta \pm 0.289$ ,  $P \pm 0.005$ ) with an associate degree ( $\beta \pm -0.252$ ,  $P \pm 0.016$ ), regular menstrual status ( $\beta \pm -0.218$ ,  $P \pm 0.018$ ), and a hormonal contraceptive method ( $\beta \pm 220$ ,  $P \pm 0.027$ ) had the most changes in SS.

### Discussion

This study evaluated SS, the quality of sex life, and its related factors in nulliparous women. According to the results, the mean scores of the quality of sex life and all its dimensions one year after delivery were significantly lower than before pregnancy. Kisa et al demonstrated that the overall score of the quality of sex life of pregnant women decreases and some changes occur during this period (13). The results of another study suggested that nulliparous women reported a higher score on the SS questionnaire, compared to parous women, regardless of age and mode of delivery. Childbirth seems to have a sustainable impact on sexual function since it appears

to be more than physical factors and goes far beyond the postpartum period (17). Parity has been also reported as one of the factors influencing the quality of women's sex life in the study conducted by Zhao et al (18). Contrary to these results and those of the present study, Fehniger et al reported that parity and the type of delivery were not recognized as the major factors effective on sexual desire and satisfaction in life among women who had at least one childbirth event (12).

The finding of the current study also revealed that the mean scores of SS and its dimensions, including physical and psychological barriers, dominant cultural values, and husband-related factors, were lower one year after delivery than before pregnancy.

Some studies suggested that childbirth can affect SS and the quality of sexual association in women so that most studied women experience postpartum sexual problems, and the likelihood of sexual problems will increase as the age increases and the increased time after childbirth

Table 6. Multivariate regression results in variables related to changes in sexual quality of life in women

| Changes in the quality of sexual life |                              | B model coefficient | Standard error | β Standard coefficient | t      | P value |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------|---------|
| Constant                              |                              | 43.735              | 13.923         | -                      | -3.141 | 0.002   |
| Age                                   |                              | -1.196              | 0.388          | -0.401                 | -3.078 | 0.003   |
| Spouse age                            |                              | 1.117               | 0.473          | 0.287                  | 2.362  | 0.020   |
| Duration of marriage                  |                              | 1.437               | 0.750          | 0.186                  | 1.915  | 0.058   |
|                                       | Weak (Ref)                   | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
|                                       | Medium                       | -4.315              | 4.415          | -0.127                 | -0.977 | 0.331   |
| Economic situation                    | Good                         | -11.388             | 5.231          | -0.273                 | -2.177 | 0.032   |
|                                       | Excellent                    | -7.050              | 13.931         | -0.056                 | -0.506 | 0.614   |
|                                       | Diploma (Ref)                | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
|                                       | Associate degree             | 9.626               | 4.470          | 0.213                  | 2.153  | 0.034   |
| Women's education                     | B.Sc.                        | -4.644              | 3.691          | -0.131                 | -1.258 | 0.211   |
|                                       | MSc and higher               | 0.613               | 7.803          | .008                   | 0.079  | 0.938   |
|                                       | Diploma (Ref)                | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
| Education of spouses                  | Associate degree             | -9.040              | 4.305          | -0.185                 | -2.100 | 0.038   |
|                                       | B.Sc.                        | -0.356              | 3.891          | -0.009                 | -0.092 | 0.927   |
|                                       | MSc and higher               | -2.111              | 5.653          | -0.034                 | -0.373 | 0.710   |
|                                       | Public sector employee (Ref) | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
| Employment status of women            | Housewife                    | 12.167              | 7.957          | 0.234                  | 1.529  | 0.129   |
| Women                                 | Self-employment              | 6.163               | 9.672          | 0.100                  | 0.637  | 0.526   |
|                                       | Public sector employee (Ref) | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
| Employment status of their            | Laborer                      | 3.528               | 5.595          | 0.105                  | 0.630  | 0.530   |
| spouses                               | Self-employment              | 2.065               | 4.994          | 0.064                  | 0.414  | 0.680   |
|                                       | Jobless                      | 19.075              | 9.893          | 0.184                  | 1.928  | 0.057   |
| D : I                                 | Village (Ref)                | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
| Residence                             | City                         | -0.385              | 2.841          | -0.012                 | -0.136 | 0.892   |
|                                       | Irregular (Ref)              | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
| Menstrual status                      | Regular                      | 12.544              | 3.056          | 0.356                  | 4.104  | < 0.001 |
| Type of delivery                      | Natural (Ref)                | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
|                                       | Elective cesarean section    | -5.708              | 5.477          | -0.088                 | -1.042 | 0.300   |
|                                       | Emergency cesarean section   | 13.878              | 6.721          | 0.201                  | 2.065  | 0.042   |
|                                       | Natural (Ref)                | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
| Method of contraception               | Condom                       | 2.959               | 3.700          | 0.073                  | 0.800  | 0.426   |
|                                       | Hormonal methods             | 15.551              | 4.570          | 0.318                  | 3.403  | 0.001   |

(19). However, some studies represented that nulliparous women, despite the number of children, feel more pain during intercourse and have less SS than women with children (11). In another study, it was revealed that the number of deliveries and the type of delivery are not known as determining factors for sexual desire, function, and satisfaction in women over 40 (12). The individuals' life and SS and the quality of sex life are related to many sociocultural, demographic, psychological, physical, and even racial factors (20). These factors can explain the differences found in the results of some studies with those of the present study.

The quality of sex life demonstrated a direct and significant association with SS in the studied women before pregnancy and one year after delivery in the current study so that women with high quality of sex

life had higher SS before pregnancy and one year after delivery. Likewise, the change in the quality of sex life had a direct and significant relationship with the change in SS so that individuals with a higher quality of sex life could experience a higher change rate in SS. Although the association between SS and the quality of sex life had not so far been assessed in any research, a study performed by Flynn et al indicated that sexual health and satisfaction appear as an important aspect of the quality of life, which is associated with many demographic variables and even the level of individuals' health (21). In another study, the researchers reported a significant association between the quality of life and its subscales and women's SS and some demographic variables (22).

A significant association was found between changes in the quality of sex life with economic status, menstrual

**Table 7.** Multivariate regression results in variables related to sexual satisfaction changes in women

| Changes in the quality of sexual life |                              | B model coefficient | Standard error | β Standard coefficient | t      | P value |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------|---------|
| Constant                              |                              | -11.220             | 16.077         | -                      | -0.698 | 0.487   |
| Age                                   |                              | -1.243              | 0.449          | -0.376                 | -2.771 | 0.007   |
| Spouse age                            |                              | 0.847               | 0.546          | 0.196                  | 1.552  | 0.124   |
| Duration of marriage                  |                              | 2.472               | 0.866          | 0.289                  | 2.853  | 0.005   |
|                                       | Weak (Ref)                   | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
|                                       | Medium                       | -11.634             | 5.098          | -0.309                 | -2.282 | 0.025   |
| Economic situation                    | Good                         | -11.664             | 6.040          | -0.252                 | -1.931 | 0.056   |
|                                       | Excellent                    | -17.220             | 16.087         | -0.123                 | -1.070 | 0.287   |
|                                       | Diploma (Ref)                | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
|                                       | Associate Degree             | 12.649              | 5.162          | 0.252                  | 2.450  | 0.016   |
| Women's education                     | B.Sc.                        | 1.569               | 4.262          | 0.040                  | 0.368  | 0.714   |
|                                       | MSc and higher               | 3.097               | 9.010          | 0.038                  | 0.344  | 0.732   |
|                                       | Diploma (Ref)                | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
| - L                                   | Associate degree             | -12.046             | 4.971          | -0.222                 | -2.423 | 0.017   |
| Education of spouses                  | B.Sc.                        | 6.244               | 4.493          | 0.135                  | 1.390  | 0.168   |
|                                       | MSc and higher               | -2.979              | 6.527          | -0.044                 | -0.456 | 0.649   |
|                                       | Public sector employee (Ref) | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
| Employment status of women            | Housewife                    | 3.336               | 9.187          | 0.058                  | 0.363  | 0.717   |
|                                       | Self-employment              | -5.512              | 11.168         | -0.081                 | -0.494 | 0.623   |
|                                       | Public sector employee (Ref) | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
| e l                                   | Laborer                      | 3.301               | 6.461          | 0.089                  | 0.511  | 0.611   |
| Employment status of their spouses    | Self-employment              | -1.839              | 5.767          | -0.052                 | -0.319 | 0.750   |
|                                       | Jobless                      | 15.319              | 11.423         | 0.134                  | 1.341  | 0.183   |
| D : 1                                 | Village (Ref)                | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
| Residence                             | City                         | -4.725              | 3.280          | -0.130                 | -1.440 | 0.153   |
|                                       | Irregular (Ref)              | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
| Menstrual status                      | Regular                      | 8.515               | 3.529          | 0.218                  | 2.413  | 0.018   |
|                                       | Natural (Ref)                | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
| Type of delivery                      | Elective cesarean section    | -12.371             | 6.325          | 172                    | -1.956 | 0.053   |
|                                       | Emergency cesarean section   | 10.254              | 7.761          | .134                   | 1.321  | 0.190   |
|                                       | Natural (Ref)                | -                   | -              | -                      | -      | -       |
| Method of contraception               | Condom                       | 7.343               | 4.273          | 0.164                  | 1.719  | 0.089   |
|                                       | Hormonal methods             | 11.885              | 5.277          | 0.220                  | 2.252  | 0.027   |

status, and the contraception method of individuals in the present study. Furthermore, regarding the relationship between individual variables and changes in SS before pregnancy and one year after delivery, only the association between the place of residence and changes in SS appeared significant. According to Flynn et al, sexual health and SS were correlated with factors such as age, gender, sexual activity status, and the general health of individuals (21). Moreover, another study showed that age and gender are also important factors with an impact on satisfaction with sexual relationships (23). The findings of this study demonstrated a significant association between changes in the quality of sex life and economic status, menstrual status, contraception method, and the place of residence of individuals so that individuals with good economic status, irregular menstruation, natural birth control methods, and urban residency had experienced the highest rate of change in the quality of sex life after a year. Similarly, Forbes et al concluded that age is the strongest predictor of the decreased quality of sex life in men. They further indicated that although age reduces the quality of sexual relationships, it does not have much effect on the quantity (24). In another study, a statistically significant relationship was found between SS scores and contraceptive methods (25). However, in this study, other individual variables, including age, age of spouse, duration of the marriage, education level and occupation of women and their husbands, and the type of delivery, revealed no statistically significant difference with the change in the quality of sex life and changes in SS. The existing differences may be due to cultural and racial differences in various studies. However, concerning age, women of childbearing potential up to 37 years were examined in the current study, and differences in satisfaction and quality of sex life seem to represent themselves at older ages.

Additionally, sexual desire and SS are associated with factors such as emotional expression and postpartum depression, and such psychological issues can affect people's sexual desire and pleasure (26). Thus, in addition to physical problems, any demographic factor may influence people's mental health, SS, and the quality of sex life. Accordingly, the findings of a study revealed that cognitive variables such as sexual attitudes, sexual hallucinations, and body images affect SS (23).

#### Conclusion

SS and its dimensions, including physical and psychological barriers, dominant cultural values, and husband-related factors, as well as the mean score of the quality of sex life, were lower one year after delivery than before pregnancy. Furthermore, women with a higher quality of sex life had higher SS in both evaluation times. Thus, it is suggested to focus on deeper and broader aspects of women's sexual health in future studies, and surveys would be made based on community culture in different geographical areas.

#### Acknowledgments

This article was derived from a research project approved by the Research and Technology Deputy of the Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences (Approval No. 2157). Hereby, the researchers gratefully thank the women who participated in this study.

#### **Authors' Contribution**

**Conceptualization:** Sheida Shabanian and Saeid Heidari-Soureshjani.

**Data curation:** Akram Karami Dehkordi, Dariush Gholipour Mofrad-Dashtaki.

Formal Analysis: Parastoo Yarmohammadi-Samani.

Funding acquisition: Sheida Shabanian. Investigation: Saeid Heidari-Soureshjani. Methodology: Parastoo Yarmohammadi-Samani.

Project administration: Dariush Gholipour Mofrad-Dashtaki.

Resources: Akram Karami Dehkordi.

Writing – original draft: Saeid Heidari-Soureshjani.

Writing – review & editing: Sheida Shabanian, Saeid Heidari-Soureshjan.

## **Conflict of Interests**

The corresponding author of this article is the executive assistant of the journal, the entire process of examining and reviewing the article was the same as that of the other authors and there is no conflict of interest.

#### **Ethical Approval**

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences (Approval code: IR.SKUMS.1395.116).

### **Funding/Support**

This research was financially supported by Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences.

#### References

1. Karimi R, Bakhtiyari M, Masjedi Arani A. Protective factors of marital stability in long-term marriage globally: a systematic

- review. Epidemiol Health. 2019;41:e2019023. doi: 10.4178/epih.e2019023.
- Chan JL, Letourneau J, Salem W, Cil AP, Chan SW, Chen LM, et al. Sexual satisfaction and quality of life in survivors of localized cervical and ovarian cancers following fertilitysparing surgery. Gynecol Oncol. 2015;139(1):141-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2015.07.105.
- Symonds T, Boolell M, Quirk F. Development of a questionnaire on sexual quality of life in women. J Sex Marital Ther. 2005;31(5):385-97. doi: 10.1080/00926230591006502.
- Mollaioli D, Ciocca G, Limoncin E, Di Sante S, Gravina GL, Carosa E, et al. Lifestyles and sexuality in men and women: the gender perspective in sexual medicine. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2020;18(1):10. doi: 10.1186/s12958-019-0557-9.
- Neto F. The satisfaction with sex life scale. Meas Eval Couns Dev. 2012;45(1):18-31. doi: 10.1177/0748175611422898.
- Davison SL, Bell RJ, LaChina M, Holden SL, Davis SR. The relationship between self-reported sexual satisfaction and general well-being in women. J Sex Med. 2009;6(10):2690-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2009.01406.x.
- Higgins JA, Mullinax M, Trussell J, Davidson JK Sr, Moore NB. Sexual satisfaction and sexual health among university students in the United States. Am J Public Health. 2011;101(9):1643-54. doi: 10.2105/ajph.2011.300154.
- Gheshlaghi F, Dorvashi G, Aran F, Shafiei F, Montazeri Najafabadi G. The study of sexual satisfaction in Iranian women applying for divorce. Int J Fertil Steril. 2014;8(3):281-8.
- 9. Tavakol Z, Nikbakht Nasrabadi A, Behboodi Moghadam Z, Salehiniya H, Rezaei E. A review of the factors associated with marital satisfaction. Galen Med J. 2017;6(3):197-207.
- Leeman LM, Rogers RG. Sex after childbirth: postpartum sexual function. Obstet Gynecol. 2012;119(3):647-55. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3182479611.
- Witting K, Santtila P, Alanko K, Harlaar N, Jern P, Johansson A, et al. Female sexual function and its associations with number of children, pregnancy, and relationship satisfaction. J Sex Marital Ther. 2008;34(2):89-106. doi: 10.1080/00926230701636163.
- 12. Fehniger JE, Brown JS, Creasman JM, Van Den Eeden SK, Thom DH, Subak LL, et al. Childbirth and female sexual function later in life. Obstet Gynecol. 2013;122(5):988-97. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3182a7f3fc.
- 13. Kisa S, Zeyneloğlu S, Yilmaz D, Güner T. Quality of sexual life and its effect on marital adjustment of Turkish women in pregnancy. J Sex Marital Ther. 2014;40(4):309-22. doi: 10.1080/0092623x.2012.751071.
- Seeman MV. Psychopathology in women and men: focus on female hormones. Am J Psychiatry. 1997;154(12):1641-7. doi: 10.1176/ajp.154.12.1641.
- Shahvari Z, Raisi F, Parsa Yekta Z, Ebadi A, Kazemnejad A. Married women's sexual satisfaction questionnaire; a developmental and psychometric evaluation. Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2015;17(4):e26488. doi: 10.5812/ ircmj.17(4)2015.26488.
- Maasoumi R, Lamyian M, Montazeri A, Azin SA, Aguilar-Vafaie ME, Hajizadeh E. The sexual quality of life-female (SQOL-F) questionnaire: translation and psychometric properties of the Iranian version. Reprod Health. 2013;10:25. doi: 10.1186/1742-4755-10-25.
- 17. Botros SM, Abramov Y, Miller JJ, Sand PK, Gandhi S, Nickolov A, et al. Effect of parity on sexual function: an identical twin study. Obstet Gynecol. 2006;107(4):765-70. doi: 10.1097/01. aog.0000207677.03235.76.
- Zhao Y, Dong W, Sun L, Su Q, Zhu Y, Lu M, et al. Analysis of factors that influence the quality of sexual life of climacteric women in China. Climacteric. 2019;22(1):73-8. doi: 10.1080/13697137.2018.1514002.
- 19. Acele E, Karaçam Z. Sexual problems in women during the first

- postpartum year and related conditions. J Clin Nurs. 2012;21(7-8):929-37. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2011.03882.x.
- Shahhosseini Z, Gardeshi ZH, Pourasghar M, Salehi F. A review of affecting factors on sexual satisfaction in women. Mater Sociomed. 2014;26(6):378-81. doi: 10.5455/ msm.2014.26.378-381.
- Flynn KE, Lin L, Bruner DW, Cyranowski JM, Hahn EA, Jeffery DD, et al. Sexual satisfaction and the importance of sexual health to quality of life throughout the life course of U.S. adults. J Sex Med. 2016;13(11):1642-50. doi: 10.1016/j. jsxm.2016.08.011.
- 22. Rabiepoor S, Kazemzadeh J, Alizadeh S. The relationship between quality of life and sexual satisfaction in women with severe burns. Maedica (Bucur). 2018;13(2):137-42. doi: 10.26574/maedica.2018.13.2.137.

- 23. Trudel G. Sexuality and marital life: results of a survey. J Sex Marital Ther. 2002;28(3):229-49. doi: 10.1080/009262302760328271.
- 24. Forbes MK, Eaton NR, Krueger RF. Sexual quality of life and aging: a prospective study of a nationally representative sample. J Sex Res. 2017;54(2):137-48. doi: 10.1080/00224499.2016.1233315.
- 25. Mehdizadeh Toorzani Z, Hasan Zahraei R, Ehsanpour S, Nasiri M, Shahidi S, Soleimani B. A study on the relationship of sexual satisfaction and common contraceptive methods employed by the couples. Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res. 2010;15(3):115-9.
- 26. Ratner ES, Erekson EA, Minkin MJ, Foran-Tuller KA. Sexual satisfaction in the elderly female population: a special focus on women with gynecologic pathology. Maturitas. 2011;70(3):210-5. doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2011.07.015.